



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

frw  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,272	02/06/2002	Gabriel Daemon Engel	PURE-P002US	7736
41066	7590	12/14/2007	EXAMINER	
MURABITO, HAO & BARNES, LLP			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95113			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,272	ENGEL ET AL.
	Examiner Nguyen M. Kevin	Art Unit 2629

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nguyen M. Kevin (PTO personnel).  
 (2) Brian Failing (applicant's representative).

(3) Richard Hierpe (PTO supervisor).  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 December 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 84, 96 and 108.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wilks et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed to withdraw 112, first paragraph and second paragraph rejections. The examiner clarifies teaching of Wilks reference that Wilks conventionally discloses the multi-state windows that allows for the effective screen size to be maximized and allows windows (see col. 1, lines 51-54), which imply a first-state window maximized for effective screen size corresponds to a first display screen and a second-state window maximized for effective screen size corresponds to a second display screen as recited in claims. A first-state window is overlapped a second-state window (see fig. 1, col. 2, lines 10-30). Wilks further teaches the cursor is pointed and clicked on the first-state window or the second-state window to activate (col. 3, lines 5-18).